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Uterine Morcellation for Presumed Leiomyomas

**ABSTRACT:** Morcellation is a surgical technique used to reduce the size of the uterus or myomas by creating smaller pieces to allow the tissue to be removed through small incisions or with laparoscopic instruments. Open (uncontained) morcellation of the uterus and myomas has been scrutinized because of the possible spread of an unsuspected leiomyosarcoma while using a power morcellator during a hysterectomy or myomectomy for presumed symptomatic uterine leiomyomas. Before considering open morcellation of the uterus, a woman should be evaluated to determine if she is at increased risk of malignancy of the uterine corpus. Morcellation of a malignancy is contraindicated, and women should be evaluated preoperatively to identify malignancy. However, leiomyosarcoma cannot be reliably diagnosed preoperatively; thus, there is a risk that a woman with a presumed leiomyoma may have a malignancy that may be spread through morcellation, leading to a potentially worsened prognosis. Although an abdominal hysterectomy or myomectomy may reduce the chance of spreading cancer cells in women with undiagnosed leiomyosarcoma, it is associated with increased morbidity when compared with minimally invasive approaches. The obstetrician–gynecologist and patient should engage in shared decision making, including informed consent explaining the risks and benefits of each approach to surgery for presumed leiomyomas, the risks and benefits of morcellation, and alternatives to morcellation.

**Recommendations and Conclusions**
The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists makes the following recommendations and conclusions regarding uterine morcellation (manual or power):

- Before considering open morcellation of the uterus, a woman should be evaluated to determine if she is at increased risk of malignancy of the uterine corpus.
- Preoperative evaluation includes the appropriate use of imaging, cervical cancer screening, and endometrial tissue sampling to identify malignancy.
- The patient should be informed of the possible risk of disseminating an occult uterine malignancy by open morcellation, as well as the risk of disseminating benign uterine tissue.
- Although an abdominal hysterectomy or myomectomy may reduce the chance of spreading cancer cells in women with undiagnosed leiomyosarcoma, it is associated with increased morbidity when compared with minimally invasive approaches. These factors must be weighed against the risk of encountering a leiomyosarcoma at the time of surgery for presumed leiomyomas (also called fibroids), as well as the associated morbidity and potential mortality associated with that diagnosis.
- Based on the 2017 Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) report, which used the largest and most comprehensive dataset and rigorous analytic methods to determine estimates of prevalence of leiomyosarcoma, patients may be informed that the risk of unexpected leiomyosarcoma may range from less than 1 in 770 surgeries to 1 in 10,000 surgeries for presumed symptomatic leiomyomas.
- The obstetrician–gynecologist and patient should engage in shared decision making, including informed consent explaining the risks and benefits of each approach to surgery for presumed leiomyomas, the risks and benefits of morcellation, and alternatives to morcellation.
Background

Morcellation is a surgical technique used to reduce the size of the uterus or myomas by creating smaller pieces to allow the tissue to be removed through small incisions or with laparoscopic instruments. Gynecologists have performed manual morcellation to remove an enlarged uterus through the vagina or a small abdominal incision for decades (1, 2). Other procedures to reduce uterine size include myomectomy, bivalving, wedge resection, or coring. Morcellation also has been performed on smaller uteri during the performance of supracervical hysterectomies. Introduced in 1993, electromechanical devices, commonly referred to as power morcellators, shave or cut tissue to allow tissue extraction (3).

Open (uncontained) morcellation of the uterus and myomas has been scrutinized because of the possible spread of an unsuspected leiomyosarcoma while using a power morcellator during a hysterectomy or myomectomy for presumed symptomatic uterine leiomyomas. In response, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a Safety Communication in November 2014 warning “against the use of laparoscopic power morcellators in the majority of women undergoing myomectomy or hysterectomy for treatment of fibroids” (4). After that warning, use of laparoscopic hysterectomy and myomectomy decreased (5–8). During the same time frame, nonblood transfusion complications and 30-day readmissions increased (5). Furthermore, with the decreased use of minimally invasive approaches and increased use of abdominal procedures, the incidence of major and minor surgical complications related to hysterectomy significantly increased after the 2014 FDA warning (8). Although the FDA expressed specific concerns about power morcellation, it is important to recognize that all morcellation techniques for hysterectomy or myomectomy have the potential to spread unsuspected cancer cells in the pelvis and abdomen (9–11). The FDA statement applies only to presumed uterine leiomyomas and does not advise against the use of power morcellation for surgical management of other diagnoses.

The primary focus of this document is to address surgery being performed for presumed symptomatic leiomyomas. Furthermore, the term “morcellation” in this document refers to open or uncontained morcellation; that is, morcellation that is performed in the peritoneal cavity without first placing the specimen into a contain-ment bag. Although briefly addressed in a subsequent section, this document is not focused on surgery performed for other indications, such as hysterectomy performed to correct pelvic organ prolapse. Furthermore, this document is not applicable to care for patients undergoing hysteroscopic surgery (myomectomy or morcellation, or both).

Epidemiology

Although uterine leiomyomas are common, leiomyosarcoma is rare, with an estimated incidence of 0.36 per 100,000 woman-years (12). The median age at diagnosis of leiomyosarcoma is 54 years, with an interquartile range of 48–63 years (13). History of tamoxifen use for more than 5 years is associated with increased risk of endometrial carcinoma and also may increase the risk of leiomyosarcoma (14–16). A history of pelvic irradiation and certain hereditary cancer syndromes, such as hereditary retinoblastoma syndrome and Li Fraumeni syndrome, also are associated with an increased risk of leiomyosarcoma (17–21). Research has shown that uterine size and rapid uterine growth are not associated with increased risk of leiomyosarcoma (15).

Preoperative Evaluation

Before considering open morcellation of the uterus, a woman should be evaluated to determine if she is at increased risk of malignancy of the uterus corpus. Preoperative evaluation includes the appropriate use of imaging, cervical cancer screening, and endometrial tissue sampling to identify malignancy in addition to risk stratification for leiomyosarcoma that is not reliably identifiable preoperatively. Imaging findings suspicious for malignancy should preclude morcellation. Cervical cancer screening should be conducted according to current cervical screening guidelines, (22–24) and abnormal results should be evaluated properly. Women with abnormal uterine bleeding also should be evaluated appropriately (25, 26).

No test can accurately rule-out the diagnosis of leiomyosarcoma preoperatively. The diagnosis usually is not made until pathologic evaluation of the uterus or leiomyoma is performed. Although endometrial biopsy or dilation and curettage may diagnose leiomyosarcoma, these are not reliable diagnostic tests for leiomyosarcoma (15). Dynamic magnetic resonance imaging and lactate dehydrogenase isoenzyme testing have been suggested as diagnostic methods for leiomyosarcoma in the preoperative evaluation; however, the evidence for these methods is weak and based on limited clinical studies (27). Furthermore, there are no data supporting biopsy of presumed leiomyomas. Considering the potential for large or multiple leiomyomas, and because biopsy would involve an invasive procedure with its associated risks, direct biopsy of leiomyomas is not practical.

The Risk of Unsuspected Leiomyosarcoma in Women With Presumed Leiomyomas

There is no consensus regarding the absolute risk of a presumed leiomyomatous uterus harboring a leiomyosarcoma. A summary of the studies contributing to the estimated prevalence is provided in Table 1. The 2014 quantitative assessment released by the FDA reviewed published and unpublished literature on patients undergoing surgery between 1980 and 2011 to estimate the prevalence of unsuspected uterine sarcoma and leiomyosarcoma in women undergoing hysterectomy or myomectomy for presumed leiomyomas (28). The final analysis evaluated a total of nine studies (eight publications and one abstract) that included 9,160 women, of which only 5.5% were from prospective studies. Only studies in which a leiomyosarcoma was identified were
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The estimated prevalence of leiomyosarcoma at the time of surgery for presumed leiomyomas is likely inflated. This analysis was identified were excluded, the estimated prevalence of sarcoma was 1 in 305 to 1 in 750 women. These estimates were consistent with the previous FDA analysis, and the organization continued to caution against the use of laparoscopic power morcellators in most women undergoing hysterectomy or myomectomy for presumed leiomyomas (28).


In 2017, AHRQ published a systematic review of the existing literature and a meta-analysis addressing the prevalence of leiomyosarcoma in presumed leiomyomas (32). The AHRQ report included data from 160 studies and 136,195 women; 29% of the data were from women included in prospective studies. According to the AHRQ meta-analysis, the overall risk of identifying a leiomyosarcoma after surgery for presumed leiomyomas was 0.02% (range: 0.05–0.09%) in prospective studies, and 0.08% (range: 0.05–0.13%) in retrospective studies (32). Translating this data to risk per number of surgeries, an unexpected leiomyosarcoma would be found in fewer than 1–13 of

Table 1. Summary of the Estimated Prevalence of Leiomyosarcoma at the Time of Surgery for Women With Presumed Leiomyomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Number of Studies Included</th>
<th>Number of Women Included in the Analysis</th>
<th>Estimated Prevalence of Leiomyosarcoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDA 2014</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9,160</td>
<td>1/498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA 2017†</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90,910</td>
<td>1/750 to 1/570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pritts 2015‡</td>
<td>133 (meta-analysis)</td>
<td>30,193</td>
<td>1/1,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bojahr 2015§</td>
<td>1 (single institution)</td>
<td>10,731</td>
<td>1/4,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality 2017</td>
<td>160 (meta-analysis)</td>
<td>136,195</td>
<td>&lt;1/10,000 to 1/770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Other published data demonstrate a much lower prevalence of leiomyosarcoma identified at the time of surgery for presumed leiomyomas. A 2015 meta-analysis of 133 studies determined that the overall prevalence of leiomyosarcoma among women having surgery for presumed leiomyomas was 1 in 1,961 (0.051%; 95% credible interval, 0.16–0.98) (30). In this same meta-analysis, when considering only the 64 prospective studies, the prevalence was approximately 1 in 8,300 (0.012%; 95% credible interval, <0.01–0.75) surgeries for presumed leiomyomas (30). A single-institution series, also published in 2015, found two instances of occult leiomyosarcoma in 8,720 women having surgery for presumed leiomyomas (1 in 4,360 or 0.023%) (31).

In 2017, AHRQ published a systematic review of the existing literature and a meta-analysis addressing the prevalence of leiomyosarcoma in presumed leiomyomas (32). The AHRQ report included data from 160 studies and 136,195 women; 29% of the data were from women included in prospective studies. According to the AHRQ meta-analysis, the overall risk of identifying a leiomyosarcoma after surgery for presumed leiomyomas was 0.02% (range: 0.05–0.09%) in prospective studies, and 0.08% (range: 0.05–0.13%) in retrospective studies (32). Translating this data to risk per number of surgeries, an unexpected leiomyosarcoma would be found in fewer than 1–13 of

Included, and studies of women undergoing surgery for presumed leiomyomas in which no leiomyosarcoma was found were excluded from the analysis. Based on this review, the FDA calculated that prevalence was 1 in 352 for unsuspected uterine sarcoma and 1 in 498 for unsuspected leiomyosarcoma in women undergoing hysterectomy or myomectomy for presumed benign leiomyoma (28). Because studies in which no leiomyosarcoma was identified were excluded, the estimated prevalence provided in this report is likely inflated. This analysis was included in the FDA’s Safety Communication boxed warning on power morcellation issued in November 2014, stating that “…uterine tissue may contain unsuspected cancer. The use of laparoscopic power morcellators during fibroid surgery may spread cancer and decrease the long-term survival of patients. This information should be shared with patients when considering surgery with the use of these devices” (4). The November 2014 FDA Safety Communication also stated that “laparoscopic power morcellators are contraindicated for removal of uterine tissue containing suspected fibroids in patients who are peri- or post-menopausal, or are candidates for en bloc tissue removal” (4).

In 2017, the FDA released an updated assessment of the use of laparoscopic power morcellators for treatment of leiomyomas (29). This analysis included a review of English-language publications released between April 2014 and April 2017 to update the 2014 analysis. A total of 23 studies were included in this analysis, and 20 studies (totaling 90,910 women) contributed to the estimated prevalence of leiomyosarcoma at the time of surgery for presumed leiomyomas. Depending on the modeling methodology used, the estimated prevalence of uterine sarcoma was 1 in 305 to 1 in 360 women, and for leiomyosarcoma the estimated prevalence was 1 in 570 to 1 in 750 women. These estimates were consistent with the previous FDA analysis, and the organization continued to caution against the use of laparoscopic power morcellators in most women undergoing hysterectomy or myomectomy for presumed leiomyomas (29).
every 10,000 surgeries performed for symptomatic leiomyomas (<1/10,000 to 1/770) (32).

The Effects of Morcellation on the Prognosis of Patients With Leiomyosarcoma

Leiomyosarcomas are aggressive malignancies that spread rapidly through intraoperative and hematogenous pathways. For example, a study using 1998–2013 data from the National Cancer Database reported that, even when confined to the uterus (stage I), the 5-year survival rate is 55.4% (13). Evidence that morcellation of a leiomyosarcoma worsens a patient’s prognosis is limited. Small retrospective studies have reported an increase in recurrence of leiomyosarcoma among patients who had undergone morcellation (10, 11, 33–35) and upstaging in women who had inadvertent morcellation of a leiomyosarcoma and subsequently underwent surgical re-exploration and staging (10, 36). These findings are not consistent across all studies, and definitive conclusions are difficult to establish because of the heterogeneity of the studies, retrospective design, the small number of patients included, and bias related to referral patterns (9).

The 2017 AHRQ meta-analysis provided additional information regarding prognosis. This report included 28 studies totaling 715 women with leiomyosarcoma at the time of the initial surgery and of these 24 studies (384 women) contributed data regarding the effect of the morcellation method (32). Based on the methods used in this meta-analysis, estimates of survival were presented as ranges with Bayesian credible intervals (BCI). (Although BCIs and confidence intervals represent similar concepts, they are based on a different set of statistical assumptions and, thus, calculated differently.) Using this approach, survival varied by morcellation technique. Based on modeling, the expected 5-year survival was 30% for women undergoing power morcellation (95% BCI, 13%–61%), 59% for scalpel morcellation (95% BCI, 33%–84%), and 60% for women in whom no morcellation was used (95% BCI, 24%–98%) (32). Although the survival estimate for power morcellation was lower than that of scalpel morcellation or no morcellation, the BCIs for the three groups overlap, making the uncertainty of the estimates very large, especially at longer follow-up times. The 2017 AHRQ meta-analysis acknowledges that significant gaps in the evidence exist and more data are needed (32).

Alternatives and Other Approaches to Morcellation

For women undergoing hysterectomy for benign disease, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends a minimally invasive approach whenever feasible (37). If the uterus is too large to be removed intact through the vagina, morcellation is required to complete a vaginal hysterectomy. The alternative to morcellation is to remove the uterus intact through an abdominal incision (abdominal hysterectomy). Similarly, removal of uterine myomas at the time of myomectomy without morcellation necessitates an abdominal incision.

In addition to using an abdominal approach to hysterectomy, other alternative techniques to avoid the risks of power morcellation have been proposed, including morcellation through suprapubic or umbilical incisions with containment bags, hand-assisted morcellation through a mini-laparotomy, and vaginal manual morcellation (17, 38, 39). Morcellation in a containment bag has been suggested as a method to avoid intraperitoneal spread of morcellated tissue, and a number of containment systems have been developed to facilitate tissue extraction after morcellation (17, 40). To date, the use of a containment bag has not been studied sufficiently to establish its effectiveness in preventing the spread of malignant tissue fragments. There is concern that the bags may leak (41) or that bags may make morcellation more cumbersome, resulting in increased operative time (42). The potential for obstructed visualization of other abdominal contents, resulting in injury to those obscured organs, is another concern. Further development and evaluation of methods to eliminate the spread of tissue and cancer into the peritoneal cavity are needed.

Risks Associated With Laparoscopic Versus Abdominal Approaches to Hysterectomy or Myomectomy

In addition to the potential spread of unsuspected malignancy, when comparing a laparoscopic approach with an abdominal approach for a hysterectomy or myomectomy, it is important to consider morbidity related to the procedure itself. Abdominal hysterectomy is associated with the following adverse outcomes: infection, hemorrhage, venous thromboembolic complications, nerve injury, genitourinary injury, and gastrointestinal injury (43). Abdominal hysterectomy is associated with higher rates of many of these complications compared with laparoscopic-assisted vaginal hysterectomy and laparoscopic hysterectomy (Table 2) (44). Compared with abdominal hysterectomy, laparoscopic approaches to hysterectomy are associated with more rapid recovery, fewer febrile episodes, and reduced risk of wound or abdominal wall infection (45). Additionally, compared with abdominal hysterectomy, laparoscopic hysterectomy is associated with a lower risk of venous thromboembolism, transfusion, and bowel perforation, as well as a lower mortality risk (44). Abdominal myomectomy is associated with similar risks. A 2014 systematic review (nine studies including 808 eligible women) reported that laparoscopic myomectomy was associated with less postoperative pain, less postoperative fever, and shorter hospital stay than abdominal myomectomy (46). The authors noted that additional studies are needed to assess differences in other postoperative outcomes among surgical approaches to myomectomy.

Although an abdominal hysterectomy or myomectomy may reduce the chance of spreading cancer cells in women with undiagnosed leiomyosarcoma, it is associated with increased morbidity when compared with minimally invasive approaches. These factors must be weighed against the risk of encountering
a leiomyosarcoma at the time of surgery for presumed leiomyomas, as well as the associated morbidity and potential mortality associated with that diagnosis. Because of the rarity of leiomyosarcoma and the wide range of reported prevalence of leiomyosarcoma diagnosed after surgery for presumed leiomyomas, quantifying the risks and benefits of different surgical approaches, especially for purposes of patient counseling, is difficult. For example, it may be difficult for a woman to place the risk of leiomyosarcoma in perspective when counseled that the risk may range from 1 in 498 surgeries to fewer than 1 in 10,000 procedures.

To help clarify comparison of outcomes, several modeling studies have been published on abdominal versus laparoscopic approach to hysterectomy, incorporating procedure-related risks and the risks associated with morcellation of unsuspected malignancy. One study used a simulation model to compare three methods of hysterectomy (abdominal, laparoscopic without morcellation, and laparoscopic with power morcellation). The model incorporated an overall malignancy (all types) risk estimate of 0.27% (1 in 370) (47). In the model, this risk was varied in the age-stratified analysis, based on the knowledge that the prevalence of leiomyosarcoma was greater with increasing age. This study demonstrated that laparoscopy with morcellation was associated with poorer quality of life and reduced overall life-years compared with laparoscopy without morcellation. However, both laparoscopic techniques (with morcellation and without morcellation) were associated with better outcomes when compared with abdominal hysterectomy (47). When stratified by age, the model showed that for women younger than 40 years, the cancer-associated deaths in the laparoscopic morcellation group (0.94 per 10,000 women) were balanced by the fewer deaths attributed to abdominal hysterectomy (0.97 per 10,000 fewer deaths for laparoscopic versus abdominal hysterectomy). However, with advancing age, the model predicted that mortality in the laparoscopy with morcellation arm exceeded that in the abdominal hysterectomy arm, with 18 additional deaths per 10,000 women in those 60 years and older (47).

A shared clinical decision tool was applied to a theoretical cohort of 20,000 women with leiomyomas undergoing hysterectomy; 10,000 underwent laparoscopic hysterectomies and 10,000 had abdominal hysterectomies (Table 3) (48). Abdominal hysterectomy was associated with increased surgically-related morbidities, longer hospital stay, and decreased patient satisfaction compared with laparoscopic hysterectomy with morcellation (including risk of leiomyosarcoma). However, laparoscopic hysterectomy with morcellation was associated with shorter median time to recurrence, shorter recurrence-free survival, and lower overall survival.

Two studies using decision-tree models, first published in 2015 and updated in 2017 to include data from studies on the prevalence of sarcoma published after the November 2014 FDA Safety Communication, compared outcomes of abdominal hysterectomy with laparoscopic hysterectomy (49, 50). Notably, in the sensitivity analyses included in these modeling studies, the results are highly dependent on the variation of the reported prevalence of leiomyosarcoma in women undergoing surgery for presumed leiomyomas. In the 2015 study, the comparison of cancer-associated mortality and procedure-related mortality was dependent on the estimated leiomyosarcoma

---

### Table 2. Risk of Major Morbidities and Mortality Associated With Abdominal or Laparoscopic Hysterectomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Abdominal Hysterectomy</th>
<th>Laparoscopic Hysterectomy</th>
<th>OR (95% CI) (Laparoscopic Compared With Abdominal Hysterectomy)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>2,879 (0.74%)</td>
<td>502 (0.66%)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.80–0.96)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>3,099 (0.83%)</td>
<td>522 (0.68%)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.77–0.93)</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT or PE</td>
<td>3,281 (0.84%)</td>
<td>529 (0.69%)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.24–0.95)</td>
<td>.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion</td>
<td>18,124 (4.7%)</td>
<td>1,805 (2.4%)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.42–0.74)</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel perforation</td>
<td>490 (0.13%)</td>
<td>52 (0.07%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder injury</td>
<td>17 (&lt;0.01%)</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.27–0.31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute MI</td>
<td>133 (0.03 %)</td>
<td>13 (0.02 %)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.55–0.61)</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay &gt;6 days</td>
<td>15,917 (4.1 %)</td>
<td>804 (1.1 %)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.27–0.31)</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>123 (0.03 %)</td>
<td>9 (0.01 %)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.39–1.2)</td>
<td>.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DVT, deep vein thrombosis; OR, odds ratio; PE, pulmonary embolism; MI, myocardial infarction; N/A, not available.

prevalence used in the model, with comparison favoring a laparoscopic approach when lower estimates were used, and an abdominal approach when higher estimates were used. If the estimated incidence of leiomyosarcoma was 0.0015% (1 in 667 or 15 per 10,000 surgeries), mortality between the two groups was equivalent (49).

In the 2017 updated analysis, a weighted average from newer published studies was used to estimate the incidence of leiomyosarcoma (0.0017%, or 1 in 558 procedures) (50). Using this estimate for leiomyosarcoma and estimates of mortality due to the surgical procedures, overall mortality was similar between the abdominal and laparoscopic hysterectomy groups, although in sensitivity analyses, most scenarios in the analysis favored the laparoscopic hysterectomy group. This decision analysis also was conducted separately for different age groups, using the age-group specific prevalence of leiomyosarcoma (50). For purposes of analysis, the data were dichotomized according to age: younger than 50 years, and 50 years and older. In women younger than 50 years, mortality considerations favored the laparoscopic hysterectomy with power morcellation group. There were 16 more deaths in the laparoscopic hysterectomy group due to leiomyosarcoma, but 21 fewer deaths attributed to the laparoscopic (as opposed to abdominal) approach. However, for women aged 50 years and older, the results differed; there were 91 more deaths in the laparoscopic hysterectomy group due to leiomyosarcoma and 70 fewer deaths attributed to the laparoscopic (as opposed to abdominal) approach (50). The authors concluded that overall mortality was not significantly different between the laparoscopic and the abdominal approaches to hysterectomy when considering higher death rates due to morcellation of a leiomyosarcoma with laparoscopic hysterectomy compared with the higher procedure-related mortality with abdominal hysterectomy. With variation in the rates of leiomyosarcoma prevalence, most scenarios favored a laparoscopic approach. The 2017 analysis also identified the importance of age as a risk factor, concluding that the risk of death associated with morcellation was significantly greater in women 50 years of age and older (50) (Fig. 1).

Although the modeling and decision-tree analyses provide helpful information by incorporating procedural risks and risks related to morcellation of unsuspected leiomyosarcoma and interpreting these risks for patient counseling, there are variations in the results depending on prevalence estimates used in these models. In general, it appears that for younger women (particularly for those younger than 50 years based on decision models), a laparoscopic approach for hysterectomy or myomectomy, with power morcellation if indicated, is a reasonable option when balancing the procedure-related risks with those associated with an unsuspected leiomyosarcoma. However, for older women (50 years and older based on decision models), although the overall risk of an unsuspected leiomyosarcoma and procedure-related mortality is low, incremental mortality is greater in those women undergoing a laparoscopic approach with power morcellation because of the higher risk of an unsuspected leiomyosarcoma as women age. Importantly, in these modeling and decision tree analyses, the estimated prevalence of leiomyosarcoma used in the models generally exceed the estimated prevalence identified in the 2017 AHRQ meta-analysis (32). Although the estimated prevalence of leiomyosarcoma reported in the AHRQ report has not been applied to similar models, it is reasonable to assume that cancer-associated mortality would be lower than reported in older models.

### Other Risks of Morcellation

Most of the published literature on morcellation subsequent to the publication of the November 2014 FDA Safety Communication is about the risks of inadvertently...
morcellating a uterine leiomyosarcoma during surgery for presumed leiomyomas. However, morcellation also may be useful for removing a small uterus, such as at the time of laparoscopic-assisted supracervical hysterectomy (LASH procedure), or when hysterectomy is performed as a component of pelvic organ prolapse repair. Endometrial carcinoma also has been identified in morcellation specimens. A retrospective review of 10,731 laparoscopic supracervical hysterectomies performed with the assistance of a power morcellator identified eight cases (0.07%, or 1 in 1,429 procedures) of endometrial carcinoma (31). In this study, with an average of 65.58 months of follow-up, no endometrial carcinomas had recurred. Other series have reported frequencies of 0.2–0.4% (1 in 250 to 1 in 502 procedures) of endometrial carcinomas identified in cases in which power morcellation was performed during surgery for benign indications (51–53). Abnormal uterine bleeding or postmenopausal bleeding is the most common presenting symptom in women with endometrial adenocarcinoma, and women should undergo appropriate preoperative evaluation to exclude coexisting endometrial malignancy.

Another consequence of morcellation is the potential spread of benign tissue through the pelvis and peritoneal cavity. A 2016 systematic review reported that laparoscopic hysterectomy or myomectomy with nonconfined morcellation was associated with sequelae, including intraperitoneal implants of endometriosis (1.4%), adenomyosis (0.57%), parasitic leiomyomas (0.9%) and, rarely, disseminated peritoneal leiomyomatosis (54). Although these benign conditions are of lesser consequence when compared with malignancy, additional medical or surgical interventions may be required for treatment of disseminated benign tissue.

Nonpower Morcellation and Morcellation of Tissue at the Time of Vaginal Extraction

Concerns about morcellation primarily have focused on the use of power morcellation or morcellation within the abdominal cavity. Scalpel morcellation of an enlarged uterus also may be used to assist with the extraction of the uterus at the time of vaginal hysterectomy or with the extraction of an enlarged uterus from the vagina at the time of total laparoscopic hysterectomy. Morcellation in these circumstances, in theory, also may result in the spread of undetected malignant cells. However, data regarding this risk and its effect on survival are extremely limited. It has been suggested that if morcellation is necessary for tissue extraction through the vagina at the time of laparoscopic hysterectomy, the uterus could be placed in a specimen bag before vaginal extraction (17).

Shared Decision Making

Patients and clinicians should use shared decision making to facilitate making choices regarding use of morcellation in gynecologic surgery for presumed leiomyomas. Proper informed consent must be obtained. Women must be
informed of the risks and benefits of any medical intervention and alternative treatment options (55). This also applies to consideration of morcellation. The patient should be informed of the possible risk of disseminating an occult uterine malignancy by open morcellation, as well as the risk of disseminating benign uterine tissue. Current evidence suggests that the risk of a leiomyosarcoma is rare, but estimates of prevalence are wide-ranging, making it challenging for patients to understand the magnitude of the risk. However, based on the 2017 AHRQ report, which used the largest and most comprehensive dataset and rigorous analytic methods to determine estimates of prevalence of leiomyosarcoma, patients may be informed that the risk of unexpected leiomyosarcoma may range from less than 1 in 770 surgeries to 1 in 10,000 surgeries for presumed symptomatic leiomyomas (32). Women also should be informed that the method of leiomyoma removal may affect subsequent morbidity and mortality, although the prognosis of leiomyosarcoma is poor regardless of the method of removal. The additional risks associated with morcellation and the risks associated with abdominal hysterectomy or other alternatives to morcellation also should be presented.

Discussing the results of modeling studies with women may assist them in interpreting the balance of risks associated with a laparoscopic approach compared with an abdominal approach to hysterectomy or myomectomy for presumed leiomyomas. According to modeling studies that estimated age-stratified risks, the higher rate of leiomyosarcoma in older patients and its effect on the rates of mortality associated with different surgical approaches should be incorporated into patient-centered discussions about surgical options for women with presumed leiomyomas. Although the modeling studies estimate a higher risk of leiomyosarcoma in older women, it is important to note that a postmenopausal woman typically would not undergo hysterectomy or myomectomy for the indication of leiomyomas. The mutual sharing of information over time between the clinician and the patient can facilitate the patient’s autonomy in the process of making ongoing choices. Ultimately, patient autonomy in the informed consent process must be respected. A list of talking points to consider for counseling women about options is provided in Box 1.

Conclusions

Uterine morcellation is a surgical technique that is performed to remove a uterus or leiomyomas through small incisions and facilitates minimally invasive surgical approaches. Morcellation may be performed during vaginal, laparoscopic, or abdominal surgery using a scalpel, scissors, or a power morcellator. A commonly used alternative to morcellation of an enlarged uterus is an abdominal hysterectomy. However, compared with minimally invasive approaches, abdominal hysterectomy is associated with higher morbidity and mortality and diminished quality of life.

Morcellation of a malignancy is contraindicated and women should be evaluated preoperatively to identify malignancy. However, leiomyosarcoma cannot be reliably diagnosed preoperatively; thus, there is a risk that a woman with a presumed leiomyoma may have a malignancy that may be spread through morcellation, leading to a potentially worsened prognosis. The risk of unexpected leiomyosarcoma is uncertain, but estimates range from 1 in 498 to less than 1 in 10,000. For women undergoing surgery for presumed leiomyomas, the higher procedural risk of abdominal hysterectomy or myomectomy (to avoid the risk of spreading malignant cells from an unsuspected leiomyosarcoma) must be balanced against the risk of morcellating an unanticipated malignancy and its associated morbidity and mortality. Based on existing data, this balance may favor a minimally invasive approach for younger women. However, because of the increasing prevalence of leiomyosarcoma with advancing age (and interpreting the age cutoff of 50 years used in the decision analyses as a proxy for menopause), for postmenopausal women, this balance may favor procedures that do not involve morcellation. The obstetrician–gynecologist and patient should engage in

Box 1. Talking Points to Consider When Counseling Women About Surgery (Including Open Morcellation) for Presumed Leiomyomas

- The risks and benefits of minimally invasive and abdominal approaches to hysterectomy or myomectomy:
  - Compared with laparoscopic approaches, the abdominal approach for hysterectomy is associated with higher risk of procedure-related morbidity, including venous thromboembolic complications, transfusion, bowel perforation, febrile episodes, and wound infections. It also is associated with increased length of hospital stay, decreased quality of life, and higher mortality.
  - A minimally invasive approach to hysterectomy is advised whenever feasible.
  - Abdominal myomectomy is associated with more postoperative pain, more postoperative fevers, and longer hospital stay than laparoscopic myomectomy.
- The risk of leiomyosarcoma at the time of surgery for presumed leiomyomas increases with age, and estimates are wide-ranging.
- There is a potential risk of spreading malignant cells if morcellation is performed and an unsuspected malignancy is found.
- The overall prognosis of uterine leiomyosarcoma is poor.
- Decisions about surgical approach must balance the risks (morbidity and mortality) associated with the procedure with the risk of morcellating an unsuspected malignancy.
- Decisions about surgical approach should be based on a shared decision-making process between the patient and her obstetrician–gynecologist or other health care provider.
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