In 2013, the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART) published a joint document, *Mature Oocyte Cryopreservation: A Guideline*, which addresses advances in techniques to freeze human eggs that have resulted in significant recent improvements in pregnancy success. Based on the current state of evidence, modern procedures to cryopreserve oocytes should no longer be considered experimental. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists’ Committee on Gynecologic Practice endorses the joint document and encourages its use by Fellows. There are not yet sufficient data to recommend oocyte cryopreservation for the sole purpose of circumventing reproductive aging in healthy women.

A woman’s reproductive life span is finite and depends on the number of oocytes with which she is born (2, 3). Treatment with chemotherapeutic drugs and pelvic radiotherapy for cancer or other serious medical illnesses has the potential to markedly accelerate follicular atresia, placing women who require these treatments at risk of primary ovarian insufficiency (3). Likewise, genetic conditions such as fragile X premutation and mosaicism for monosomy X also predispose women to primary ovarian insufficiency (4). Women with these risk factors and others may be candidates for fertility preservation before ovarian failure ensues. However, as stated in the ASRM–SART guideline, “there are not yet sufficient data to recommend oocyte cryopreservation for the sole purpose of circumventing reproductive aging in healthy women because there are no data to support the safety, efficacy, ethics, emotional risks, and cost-effectiveness of oocyte cryopreservation for this indication” (1).

Mature oocyte cryopreservation is a currently available method of fertility preservation in women of reproductive age. Although in vitro fertilization (IVF) with cryopreservation of embryos is an established method of fertility preservation, it requires that the patient have a male partner or be willing to use donor sperm. Women who either cannot or wish not to cryopreserve embryos may consider banking mature oocytes as a reasonable fertility-preserving alternative. In the past 10 years, methods for ultrarapid freezing (vitrification) of oocytes have been refined that optimize oocyte survival after cryopreservation (1, 5–7).

Both clinical trials and observational studies have compared reproductive outcomes after IVF and intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) with cryopreserved oocytes to IVF and ICSI with fresh oocytes. Outcomes of four published randomized controlled trials demonstrated that fresh and frozen oocytes yield similar pregnancy rates in IVF cycles, supporting the use of these technologies in well-selected patients aged 35 years and younger (8–11). In the two studies conducted in infertile couples (two trials were conducted in egg donors), implantation rates ranged between 17% and 41% and clinical pregnancy rates per transfer ranged from 36% to 65% (9, 11). These data, the data in egg donors, and data from a recent meta-analysis (12) suggest that specific outcomes of IVF and ICSI (fertilization and pregnancy rates) are similar between fresh oocytes and vitrified oocytes. An important clinical predictor of outcomes in the observational studies of oocyte cryopreservation and IVF is...
the age of the oocyte when frozen or vitrified (13–16).

Several studies have indicated that a more advanced age of the oocyte when frozen or vitrified reduces the odds of success when vitrified oocytes are used for IVF or ICSI. Collectively, studies provide good evidence that fertilization and pregnancy rates using vitrified oocytes are similar to fresh IVF cycles or fresh ICSI cycles and are consistent with clinical experience with respect to the effect of the age of the oocyte when frozen or vitrified.

Although the number of pregnancies conceived from IVF or ICSI with vitrified oocytes is small relative to fresh oocyte IVF or ICSI and frozen embryo transfer cycles, there currently is no evidence of increased neonatal risk from this treatment compared with other assisted reproductive technologies (17–19). Additional follow-up of diverse patient populations is warranted to confirm these early reassuring outcomes.

In addition to utilization in women with serious medical conditions, oocyte cryopreservation represents an appealing option for those women who wish to defer childbearing until later in life. However, there are no published data on the efficacy of elective oocyte cryopreservation in this population. Oocyte cryopreservation, with appropriate counseling, is recommended for patients facing infertility due to chemotherapy or other gonadotoxic therapies. There are not yet sufficient data to recommend oocyte cryopreservation for the sole purpose of circumventing reproductive aging in healthy women. It is recommended that patients be thoroughly counseled about the current lack of data on efficacy, as well as the risks, costs, and alternatives to elective oocyte cryopreservation (1).

References

13. Bianchi V, Lappi M, Bonu MA, Borini A. Oocyte slow freezing using a 0.2–0.3 M sucrose concentration protocol: is it really the time to trash the cryopreservation machine? Fertil Steril 2012;97:1101–7. [PubMed] [Full Text]

Copyright January 2014 by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 409 12th Street, SW, PO Box 96920, Washington, DC 20090-6920. All rights reserved.

ISSN 1074-861X