Combined oral contraceptives (OCs) that contain drospirenone have been widely used in the United States for several years and in Europe for longer. Early safety reports have suggested a higher risk of venous thromboembolism associated with the use of OCs that contain drospirenone compared with OCs that contain other progestins, such as levonorgestrel (1, 2). Two large studies (one large Dutch case–control study and one cohort study from Denmark) also reported increased risks of venous thromboembolism with use of drospirenone-containing OCs compared with levonorgestrel-containing OCs (3, 4).

However, these studies had several methodological limitations, such as potential misclassification of venous thromboembolism and the duration of use of the OCs, inadequate control of confounding variables, and potential information and detection biases (5). Despite these limitations, it is biologically plausible to consider an increased risk of complications from venous thromboembolism with the use of drospirenone-containing OCs as compared with other progestin-containing OCs. Aldosterone may be involved with hemostasis, leading to a decrease in coagulability. Therefore, the antimineralocorticoid properties of drospirenone could in turn lead to hypercoagulability, creating a possible mechanism for the increased risk of venous thromboembolism with the use of drospirenone-containing OCs (6).

After reviewing the data in these as well as other studies, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a Drug Safety Communication in which it concluded that use of drospirenone-containing pills may be associated with a higher risk of blood clots than other progestin-containing OCs (7). Because the studies did not provide consistent estimates of the comparative risk of blood clots between drospirenone-containing OCs and other progesterin-containing OCs and because the studies failed to account for important patient characteristics, such as smoking status and body mass index, that may influence prescribing and likely affect the risk of blood clots, the FDA was unable to conclude causality.

Two nested case–control studies that used United States and United Kingdom clinical databases found the risk of nonfatal idiopathic venous thromboembolism to be two to three times higher among new current users of drospirenone-containing OCs compared with users of levonorgestrel-containing OCs (8, 9). Information regarding OC use was ascertained from a pharmacy database...
recorded from drug claims in one study (8) and from a research database in the other study (9). All identified patients with venous thromboembolism had to have received long-term anticoagulation therapy. In contrast, a German case–control study found similar risks of confirmed venous thromboembolism between users of drospirenone-containing OCs and levonorgestrel-containing OCs (10). Although some known potential confounders were identified and adjusted for in these studies, case–control studies remain susceptible to confounding by unknown variables, selection bias, and diagnostic referral bias, among other factors.

The European Active Surveillance Study prospectively evaluated the risks of cardiovascular complications among 59,674 new users of OCs, including women who were taking drospirenone-containing OCs, who were actively observed for 142,475 woman-years (11). Cases of venous thromboembolism were verified with medical records; loss to follow-up was less than 2.5%. In this 5-year multinational study, the risk of venous thromboembolism among new users of drospirenone-containing OCs was 9.1 per 10,000 woman-years compared with 8 per 10,000 woman-years among new users of levonorgestrel-containing OCs and 9.9 per 10,000 woman-years among new users of other progestin-containing OCs. The adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) were 1 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.6–1.8) for users of drospirenone-containing OCs compared with users of levonorgestrel-containing OCs and 0.9 (95% CI, 0.5–1.3) for users of drospirenone-containing OCs compared with users of other progestin-containing OCs. A U.S. study of 67,000 new OC users, one third of whom were using drospirenone-containing OCs, did not find significant differences in the incidence of venous thromboembolism among users of drospirenone-containing OCs (1.3/1,000 woman-years; 95% CI, 0.8–2) compared with users of other progestin-containing OCs. The risk of venous thromboembolism with all use of drospirenone-containing OCs compared with all use of other OCs is 1.17 (0.63–2.18). The increased risk of venous thromboembolism in the first 12 months of drospirenone-containing OC use only. The elevated incidence ratio in the first 3 months is 1.93 (1.26–2.95) and from 7 months to 12 months is 2.9 (1.59–5.28). However, after 12 months of use, the risk of venous thromboembolism in patients who use drospirenone-containing OCs is equivalent to that of users of other second-generation combined OCs, with an incidence rate ratio of 1.17 (0.63–2.18). The increased risk of venous thromboembolism with all use of drospirenone-containing OCs is equivalent to all use of other OCs is found in women aged 10–34 years. The relative HR in this age range is 1.86 (1.41–2.46) compared with the relative HR of 1.35 (1–1.82) for women aged 35–55 years. Older “new” users of the drospirenone-containing OCs are less likely to be naïve users of combined OCs and are more likely to have had previous hormonal exposure without complications.

Conclusions

The risk of venous thromboembolism is increased among OC users (3–9/10,000 woman-years) compared with nonusers who are not pregnant and not taking hormones (1–5/10,000 woman-years) (7), and some data have suggested that the use of drospirenone-containing OC pills has a higher risk (10.22/10,000) than the use of other progestin-containing OCs (15). However this risk is still very low and is much lower than the risk of thromboembolism during pregnancy (approximately 5–20/10,000 woman-years) and the postpartum period (40–65/10,000 woman-years) (7) (Figure 1).

Recommendations

Based on recent reports regarding the increase of venous thromboembolism in users of drospirenone-containing OCs, the American College of Obstetricians and Gyne-
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The Committee on Gynecologic Practice makes the following recommendations:

- Decisions regarding the choice of OC should be left to clinicians and their patients, taking into account the following factors:
  - The possible minimally increased risk of venous thromboembolism in new users of drospirenone-containing OCs compared with users of combined OCs (10.22/10,000 woman-years compared with 3–9/10,000 woman-years) (Fig. 1)
  - Patient preference
  - Available alternatives
- Women should have a wide range of contraceptive options, including drospirenone-containing OCs.
- If a patient is using a drospirenone-containing OC and is tolerating the regimen, there is no need to discontinue that OC.
- When prescribing any OC, clinicians should consider a woman’s risk factors for venous thromboembolism (Box 1) and refer to the U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use (16, 17).
- Patient education materials, including product labeling, should place information regarding risks of venous thromboembolism in context by also providing information about overall venous thromboembolism risks and venous thromboembolism risks during pregnancy and the postpartum period.

**Fig. 1.** Likelihood of developing a blood clot (number of women with a blood clot per 10,000 women-years).

**Box 1. High-Risk Factors for Venous Thromboembolism in Users of Combined Oral Contraceptives**

- Smoking and age 35 years or older
- Less than 21 days postpartum or 21–42 days postpartum with other risk factors
- Major surgery with prolonged immobilization
- History of deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism
- Hereditary thrombophilia (including antiphospholipid syndrome)
- Inflammatory bowel disease with active or extensive disease, surgery, immobilization, corticosteroid use, vitamin deficiencies, or fluid depletion
- Systemic lupus erythematosus with positive (or unknown) antiphospholipid antibodies

*Oral contraceptive use in women with these conditions is classified as U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria Category 3 (theoretical or proven risks usually outweigh the advantages of using the method) or Category 4 (condition that represents an unacceptable health risk if the contraceptive method is used).*
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